## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,	) ) 2:14-CR-00137-KJD-NJK )
v.	$\binom{1}{2}$ STIPULATION TO EXTEND
MARIA GHEORGHE,	GOVERNMENT'S TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S
Defendant.	PRETRIAL MOTION (Government's First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between, Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, District of Nevada, Kimberly M. Frayn, Assistant United States Attorney, representing the United States of America, Plaintiff, and John G. George, Esq., counsel for the Defendant MARIA GHEORGHE, that the Government shall have an additional forty five days from the April 22, 2014 date recently set by the Court (doc. no. 96), that is, up to and including Wednesday June 3, 2015, in which to respond to the defendant's pretrial motion, and the defendant will have up to and including June 12, 2015, in which to file any replies in the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for trial on July 13, 2015.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1. Both counsel for defendant and counsel for the Government agree to the aboverequested extension of time. Defendant MARIA GHEORGHE not incarcerated and counsel for the defendant has represented that the defendant does not oppose the proposed extension of time for the Government to file its responses to the defendant's pretrial motion.
- 2. Government counsel, and counsel for defendant MARIA GHEORGHE, and counsel for his co-defendants are attempting to negotiate a plea resolution to this matter, which would obviate the need to litigate the pretrial motion for a bill of particulars raised by the defendant in doc. no. 67.
- 3. This extension of time to file the Government's responses to the pretrial motion is not sought merely for purposes of delay. Government counsel has unexpectedly been out of the office periodically during the last three weeks due to an illness. The additional time requested herein is necessary to allow the Government sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly investigate, research, and respond appropriately to the defendant's motion, if the plea negotiations fail. Trial is currently set for this matter on July 13, 2015, and the extension requested herein should not disturb the parties' abilities to proceed to trial on that date.
- 4. The parties agree that the Government shall have an additional forty five days, up to and including forty five days from the April 22, 2014 date recently set by the Court (doc. no. 96), that is, up to and including Wednesday June 3, 2015, in which to respond to the defendant's pretrial motion, and the defendant will have up to and including June 12, 2015, in which to file any replies.
  - 5. Denial of this request for additional time as set forth above would deny the

1	parties the opportunity of having continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due	
2	diligence. Further, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice and the ends of	
3	justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendan	
4	in a speedy trial.	
5	6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the	
6	time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18	
7	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D), and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under	
8	Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).	
9	Although this is the fifth request for an extension, this is the Government's first reques	
10	to extent the Government's time to respond to the defendant's pretrial motion.	
11	DATED this 20th day of April, 2015.	
12		
13		
14	/s/John G. George, Esq. /s/Kimberly M. Frayn JOHN G. GEORGE, ESQ., KIMBERLY M. FRAYN	
15	Counsel for Defendant Assistant U.S. Attorney	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

24

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-000-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
,	) 2:14-CR-00137-KJD-NJK
Plaintiff,	)
v.	ORDER
	)
MARIA GHEORGHE.	<b>/</b>

Defendant.

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. Both counsel for defendant and counsel for the Government agree to the above-requested extension of time. Defendant MARIA GHEORGHE not incarcerated and counsel for the defendant has represented that the defendant does not oppose the proposed extension of time for the Government to file its responses to the defendant's pretrial motion.
- 2. Government counsel, and counsel for defendant MARIA GHEORGHE, and counsel for his co-defendants are attempting to negotiate a plea resolution to this matter, which would obviate the need to litigate the pretrial motion for a bill of particulars raised by the defendant in doc. no. 67.
- 3. This extension of time to file the Government's responses to the pretrial motion is not sought merely for purposes of delay. Government counsel has unexpectedly been out of the office periodically during the last three weeks due to an illness. The additional time requested herein is necessary to allow the Government sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly investigate, research, and respond appropriately to the defendant's

motion, if the plea negotiations fail. Trial is currently set for this matter on July 13, 2015, and the extension requested herein should not disturb the parties' abilities to proceed to trial on that date.

- 4. The parties agree that the Government shall have an additional forty five days, up to and including forty five days from the April 22, 2014 date recently set by the Court (doc. no. 96), that is, up to and including Wednesday June 3, 2015, in which to respond to the defendant's pretrial motion, and the defendant will have up to and including June 12, 2015, in which to file any replies.
- 5. Denial of this request for additional time as set forth above would deny the parties the opportunity of having continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Further, denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D), and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Government shall have an additional an additional forty five days, up to and including up to and including Wednesday June 3, 2015 in which to respond to the defendant's pretrial motion; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant MARIA GHEORGHE will have up to and including June 12, 2015, in which to file her reply, if any, to the Government's responses.

DATED this 21st day of April, 2015.

HONORABLE NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge